

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/693,938 | 10/23/2000 | Ashwin Sampath | Sampath 3-24-7 8514 | | |
| 7590 09/09/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C | | | CHOW, CHARLES CHIANG | | |
| P.O. Box 8910 Reston, VA 20195 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2685 DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005 | | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 09/693,938 | SAMPATH ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Charles Chow | 2685 | |

| before the Filling of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Charles Chow | 2685 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| | no date of the final rejection | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if fimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 0001100 | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,6-19,21-23,25,27-32,34-36,39-4 | 5.47.48.50-61.63-65.67.69-73.75-7 | 7 and 79-83. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | Is to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding applicant's argument for Padovani (US 6,222,830 B1) for the no teachings for the generating of enhanced frame; the no enhanced frame copy generated; the combining of acceptable portion of enhanced frame with the acceptable portion of enhanced frame copy in pages 16-19 of applicant's amendment, please refer to following.

Regarding the generating of enhanced frame, Padovani teaches the generating of enhance frame 305 with frame quality metric FQM 308 from base transceiver station decoder 214 [Fig. 3, col. 7, lines 40-67], for the same message transmitted from cellular elephone to a set of base transceiver stations BTS [abstract, Fig. 1].

Regarding the no enhanced frame copy generated; the combining of acceptable portion of enhanced frame with the acceptable portion of enhanced frame copy, Padovani teaches the enhanced frame copy is generated in different BTS, just like the generating of enhance frame 305 in Fig. 3. The enhanced frame 305 from each BTS has the same message from cellular telephone for a set of BTS [abstract], and BTS for sending the enhanced frame copy to base station control BSC for the selection of BTS for handoff [abstract, Fig. 3].

In Fig. 5, it shows the selector element 404, 500, of the BSC for combining the enhanced FQM frames A(1)-A(3) from a BTS with the copies of enhanced FQM frames B(1)-B(3) which are the generated copies having the same data from cellular telephone via another BTS, and performing the combining of acceptable portion of the enhanced frames A(1)-A(3) with the copy of the enhanced frames B(1)-B(3) to produce a better quality enhanced frames having F(A)(3), F(B)2), F(A)(1).

0 0 9 - 2 - 2005

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER